Concerns
Not enough participation by residents and businesses within boundaries
Proposed boundaries
Will be difficult to achieve item 1 (from list of goals)
Need to reroute ferry traffic and allow through traffic (?)
Item 6 (from list of goals) may not belong
Effect on existing businesses in residential area
Additional restrictions within activity center
Consider plans for ferry
Parking along highway (lack of parking for businesses)
No answer on ferries until 2009
Conflict between some commercial uses and the goal of serving the village
Additional commercial uses will create more traffic
Business owners assume lodging is an acceptable use
The "choke point" at the ferry landing is the main problem
There is a safety and traffic flow problem all the way past the village
2000 sf limit on structures
Good proposals for reducing traffic impacts have not been implemented
Was the purpose of the 2000 sf limit to minimize scope and scale of commercial development?

Questions
What should we do with new marina development?

Items Requiring Future Discussion
Reconsider "no" on cottage enterprise (in VR)
Why should rules here be more restrictive (than in areas outside the village)
Development of shoreline is a concern - is it affected by what occurs upland?
Reconsider boundary - shrink to commercial core?
2000 sf too restrictive
Walk-on ferry parking - more needed
How was 2000 sf limit derived?
Shoreline regs are adequate
Need stringent regs in plan
Revisit the boundaries
Consider higher density
Don't be so restrictive on commercial uses
Need summation of topics and associated GMA requirements
Where is the water coming from?
Cell towers (more?)
Traffic
Consensus process or majority rule?
Architectural standards